

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/776,258	02/12/2004	Eiji Kikuchi	021091A	4158	
38834 7.	590 08/12/2005	EXAMII	EXAMINER PAUMEN, GARY F		
	N, HATTORI, DANIEI	PAUMEN, O			
SUITE 700	CTICUT AVENUE, NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			2833	÷	
			DATE MAILED: 08/12/2005	:	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/776,258	KIKUCHI, EIJI	
Examiner	Art Unit	-
Gary F. Paumen	2833	

	Gary F. Paumen	2833			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aftitice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	lidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN					
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since		
AMENDMENTS	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ACQUEA		
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading the proposed amendment(s). They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below). 	nsideration and/or search (see NC	TE below);	ecause		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-	will not be entered, or b) wided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>4-8</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		,			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	t before or on the date of filing a N	lotice of Appeal will n	ot he entered		
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary and		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. \$	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ils to provide a (1).		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by Slack et al teaches the opening wall 24 engaging and s	it does NOT place the application upporting the plug lever (see attac	in condition for allowa hment).	ince because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).) .		
13. Other:	*	DryVit-			
••		Gary Paum Primary Exa			
		Finiary Exa	1 111 1 0 5		

Attachment to S.N. 16/776,258



(12) United States Patent

Slack et al.

(10) Patent No.:

US 6,736,680 B2

(45) Date of Patent:

May 18, 2004

(54) MODULAR JACK ASSEMBLY FOR ETHERNET APPLICATIONS

(75) Inventors: Victor E. Slack, Lewisville, NC (US); Eric C. Laurer, Clemmons, NC (US)

(73) Assignce: Tyco Electronics Corporation, Middletown, PA (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this

patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

(21) Appl. No.: 10/196,302

(22) Filed: Jul. 16, 2002

(65) Prior Publication Data

US 2004/0014361 A1 Jan. 22, 2004

(51) Int. Cl. H01R 24/00 (52) U.S. Cl. 439/676; 439/620

439/

(56) References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,531,612 A 5,736,910 A		Goodall et al 439/541.5 Townsend et al 333/181
		Boutros et al 439/620 Crane, Jr. et al 439/676

^{*} cited by examiner

Primary Examiner-Ross Gushi

(57) ABSTRACT

An electrical connector assembly is shown which includes both full shielding and signal conditioning. The assembly includes a front housing portion in the form of a modular jack, and a rear housing portion which forms a recessed area or "well" for receiving the components for signal conditioning. The components are mounted on a printed circuit board, and placed in the recessed area. The housings are aligned and locked together.

25 Claims, 14 Drawing Sheets

